क्र॰ सं॰	राज्य/संघ राज्य क्षेत्र	11,000 रु॰ की संशोधित गरीबी रेखा
15.	मेघालय	10.00
16.	मिजोरम	13.46
17.	नागालैंड	1.45
18.	उड़ीसा	11.11
19.	पंजाब	57.20
20.	राजस्थान	8.96
21.	सिक्किम	23.53
22.	तमिलनाडु	4.50
23.	त्रिपुरा	4.48
24.	उत्तर प्रदेश	27.40
25.	पश्चिम बंगाल	28.77
26.	अ॰ नि॰ द्विपसमूह	4.00
27.	चण्डीगढ़	5.13
28.	दादरा और नगर हवेली	0.00
29.	दमन और दीव	0.00
30.	दिल्ली	0.00
31.	लक्षद्वीप	45.00
32.	पांडिचेरी	34.00
	योग	15.96

Annual Plan amount for Haryana and Uttar Pradesh

2019. SHRI LACHHMAN SINGH: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) the amounts anctioned by the Planning commission for Haryana and Uttar Pradesh for the Annual Plan of 1997-98;

(b) the amount provided during 1996-97; and

(c) the reasons for providing lesser amount in comparison to the amounts sanctioned previously to the above States, year-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH): (a) and (b) The annual plan outlays for Haryana and Uttar Pradesh for 1997-98 are Rs. 1575.00 crore and Rs. 7080.00 crore respectively which are higher than their Originally Approved Outlays of Rs. 1433.65 crore and Rs. 6549.03 crore for Annual Plan of 1996-97.

(c) Does not arise.

Amount for macadamisation of road in Pauri District

2020. SHRI SANJAY NIRUPAM: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to refer to answer to unstarred Question 1276, given in the rajya Sabha on the 25th July, 1996 and to state:

(a) whether any funds were earmarked for macadamisation of Sisldi Rekhnikhal motor road in District Pauri Garhwal;

(b) if so, the amount earmarked for 1995-96 and the percentage of achievement made;

(c) the amount earmarked for 1996-97 for macadamisation of this road; and

(d) by when the entire road would be macadamised?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH): (a) Rs. 8.50 lakhs were earmarked against the sanctioned cost of Rs. 45.00 lakhs for macadamisation of Sisldi Rekhnikhal motor road in District Pauri Garhwal.

(b) The amount earmarked for 1995-96 was Rs. 7.00 lakhs. This has been fully utilised.

(c) The amount earmarked for 1996-97 stands at Rs. 1.50 lakhs.

(d) The entire road would be macadamised by the State government.

Delay in implementation of Japan Aided Projects

2021. PROF. RAM KAPSE: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Mr. masahiko Horie, Director Japanese

Foreign Ministry has complained of the procedural delays in implementation of japan aided projects;

(b) if so, the reaction of government thereto;

(c) the details of such projects

(d) the reasons for the delay in implementation of these projects;

(e) the action taken by government to cut down the procedural delays; and

(f) whether Government propose to apply the cut down delays procedure to other projects also?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH): (a) to (f) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Accelerating the Economic Growth

2022. DR. B.B. DUTTA: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether the economy of the country is in the grip of recession;

(b) if not, the growth of G.D.P. in agriculture, industrial production and exports during 1995-96 and during the first nine months of 1996-97;

(c) what are the reasons for slowdown in the economic activities; and

(d) how Government propose to accelerate the economic growth?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMANTATION (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH): (a) to (d) The rates of growth of Gross Domestic Production (GDP), index of agricultural and industrial production, manufacturing output and exports at U.S. dollar terms for 1995-96 and for latest available estimates of 1996-97, given in the enclosed statement, are not indicative of slowdown of economic activities.

Statement

GROWTH RATES

(per cent)

		1995-96	1996-97
1.	Gross Domestic Product (at 1980-81 prices)	7.1	6.8
2.	Agricultural Production (Index 1981-82=100)	—0.4	3.0
3.	Industrial Production (Index 1980-81=100)	11.7	9.8
3a.	Manufacturing Sector	13.0	12.1
4.	Exports (U.S. Dollar)	20.8	6.4

Advance Estimate of Central Statistical Organisation for 1996-97.
Anticipated for 1996-97.
April-October, 1996.
April-December, 1996.